

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. Chang-Hak Kim 5392-5 9084 10/789,349 02/26/2004 EXAMINER 27799 7590 12/15/2004 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE WONG, STEVEN B 551 FIFTH AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 1210** NEW YORK, NY 10176 3711

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0
<u></u>

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/789,349	KIM, CHANG-HAK		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	Steven Wong	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status			,		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.				
7)[_	,				
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	k(s)				
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Inform	5) N. S. C.				

Application/Control Number: 10/789,349

Art Unit: 3711

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the instant invention is described as being used for "settling" a golf ball on a golf tee. It appears that more accurate language would be "setting".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 recites "A golf tee for settling a golf ball". More accurate language would be "A golf tee for setting a golf ball". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raines (2,747,768) in view of Bressie (4,660,837) and Hess (1,278,147). Regarding claim 1, Raines discloses a golf tee setting device comprising a burying device (17) having a head portion and a cone (13) extending under the head portion, at least one stacked inserting device (1) made of a thin sheet, a spring (15) inserted into the burying device and a coupling device (11) having upper and lower penetrated portions. Raines also provides springs (19) whose ends (21) define a blocking jaw with grooves defined therebetween. However, Raines lacks the teaching for the head portion to be concave.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,349

Art Unit: 3711

Bressie reveals a golf tee setting device including a handle having a concave portion for receiving a golf ball thereon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the spherical end of Raines with a concave portion in order to allow the user to place the golf ball thereon to apply the pressure to the tee setting device.

Raines also lacks the teaching for inserting device to include a pair of blocking protrusions thereon.

Hess reveals that it is well known of stacked objects (note Figure 5) to provide a thin strip (9b) along the stacked object in order to form the stacked objects as a unitary body until they need to be separated. Note Figure 6 showing the strips on opposite sides of the stacked objects. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the stacked tees of Raines with a thin strip along opposite sides in order to facilitate their loading into the tee setting device.

Regarding claim 2, the inserting devices and the coupling device of Raines present an infinite number of portions that are capable of displaying. Thus, Raines renders claim 2 obvious because there will obviously be a display portion on the inserting device that is vertical with the blocking protrusions and display portions on the coupling device that serially coincide with the grooves.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-4416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,349

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW December 10, 2004